TECH

Tennessee

Submonitoring Process

Subaward

and

Office of Sponsored Programs and Grant Accounting Training

Nov 15, 2023

Terminology

- Prime Award original funding source
- Prime Recipient institution receiving the prime award
- Subaward external party collaborating under a federal grant
- Subawardee recipient of a subaward
- Consultant/Contractor an entity performing work under a grant that is not considered a subawardee
- Subcontract contract for external party under a federal contract or other external funding source
- Subcontractor recipient of a subcontract
- Second-tier Sub a sub off of a sub (and so on for third-tier)

Documentation

Project detail can change from proposal stage to award stage.

Needed for review or confirmation:

- Detailed Budget
- Scope of Work
 - Project overview not sufficient
 - Specific tasks
 - Think about being in court
- Additional detail as-needed

Incoming Subcontracts

OSP responsibilities:

- Legal terms (all)
- Operational terms
 - Dates match
 - Budgets match and IDC is correctly calculated
 - Grant/contract numbers match
- Scope of Work
 - Specific tasks for Tennessee Tech personnel
- Notify PI and administrator of any unusual requirements
- OSP signs grant/contract

Department responsibilities:

• Submit activation paperwork

Grant Accounting responsibilities:

- Banner setup
- Invoicing

Outgoing Subawards/Subcontracts Basics - Federal and Non-federal

OSP Responsibilities:

- Reviews prime award
- Drafts subcontract to ensure compliance with prime award, state law and institution policy
- Negotiates contract language
- Approves in Eagle Buy

Department Responsibilities:

- Request subaward/subcontract from OSP
- Confirm draft contract
- Submit requisition in Eagle Buy

Purchasing Responsibilities:

- Process submission in Eagle Buy
- Collect documentation for Accounts Payable
- Manage signature process (DocuSign)

Non-Federal Subcontracts

Basic duties as above

• Compliance and oversight duties as required by prime award, e.g. TN requires Title VI certification

Federal Subaward Process - OSP

Subrecipient monitoring review

- Required under Uniform Guidance (2 CFR 200)
- OSP performs majority
- Purchasing performs SAM excluded entity review

Basic duties as above

FFATA reporting upon completion of subaward Required under Uniform Guidance (2 CFR 200)

Subrecipient Monitoring

Tennessee Tech is a member of Federal Demonstration Partnership (FDP). FDP resources include:

- FDP Expanded Clearinghouse
 - All FDP members (217 institutions)
 - Select non-member institutions
 - Participants agree to accept Clearinghouse profiles as sufficient
 - Transaction-specific questions still allowed

Risk Assessment Questionnaire (RAQ)

- Institution policies
- Financial stability
- Sub experience
- Audit results
- Project-specific risks
- Continuing Assessment Tool (CAT) completed in subsequent years

Submonitoring Review Process

Clearinghouse Member Profile

- Review relevant assurances
- Review any audit findings
- Usually quick and straightforward

Non-member RAQ

- Review audits, financial statements, IRS-990, etc. for overall picture
- Complete any project-specific certifications when policy is lacking
- Bring concerns to the attention of the administrator and PI
- Can require a *lot* of legwork

RAQ/CAT example

. 5-∂-∓					RAQ-ar	nd-CAT-combined	- Excel	
File Home Insert Draw Page Layout Formulas Data Review View	Help Acrobat	igoDriven p Tell me what you wa	nt to do					
🐣 X Cut Calibri 8 A A = = 🖉 😵 Vrap Text	Text		Normal	Bad	Good	Neutral	Calculati	
Paste Copy · B / II · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Conditional Format as	Check Cell	Explanatory	Followed Hyp	Hyperlink	Input	
Clipboard 5 Fornt 5 Alignment 5	Number 5	Formatting - Table -			vles			
	Number			51	yies			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
	Q R S T U	V W X	Y Z AA		AD AE AF	F AG AH	AI AJ	
12Institution Questions (Scored)Score2Risk Assessment QuestionnaireScore								
3 Subrecipient Institution		16. Is the Subreci	pient institution f	foreign or dome	estic?			
4 Subject to Single Audit? Yes No		<click select="" to=""></click>						
5 Relevant Findings? Yes No		17. What is the Subrecipient Organization type?						
6 Internal Project Identifier	oject Identifier <a>							
			18. Does the Subrecipient have a Negotiated IDC Rate Agreement?					
8 DUNS		<click select="" to=""></click>						
9 FAC EIN		19. Were the results of the most recent Single audit (or similar)						
10 See other tab for guidance and frequently asked questions 11		satisfactory?						
		<click select="" to=""></click>	iont Institution .	mantuma 2				
12 Threshold Questions (Not Scored) 13	Yes No	20. Is the Subreci <click select="" to=""></click>	nent institution i	maturer				
14 If yes to 1, 2, or 3, consider alternatives to initiating agreement:	163 110		ecinient Instituti	on have experie	ence with determ	ining		
15 1. Is the Subrecipient Institution presently debarred or suspended?	21. Does the Subrecipient Institution have experience with determining conflicts of interest (evidence of an acceptable COI Policy)?							
16 2. Is the Subrecipient Institution's PI presently debarred or suspended?	<pre><click select="" to=""></click></pre>							
17 3. Does the Subrecipient show "delinquent federal debt" in SAM?								
18 Project Questions (Scored)								
19 If no to 4, 5, 6, or 7, consider alternatives to initiating agreement:								
20 4. If required by the sponsor, does the Subrecipient have a compliant		22. What is the Prime Sponsor type?						
21 conflict of interest policy?	<click select="" to=""></click>							
5. Does the Subrecipient have an acceptable accounting system?	23. What is the Prime Award type?							
 6. Does the Subrecipient have an acceptable procurement system? 7. If required, has the Subrecipient completed audit under A-133 or 	<pre><click select="" to=""> </click></pre>							
	24. Amount of Outgoing Funds? <click select="" to=""></click>							
25 Uniform Guidance for the most recent fiscal year? 26		25. What is the pe		Prime Award by	aing subcontracto	ad		
27 Other Considerations (Not Scored)			s Subrecipient—		ang subcontracte	-u		
28		<click select="" to=""></click>		not totall.				
8. Has there been a PTE-issued management decision on audit findings		26. Does the worl		Subjects, Anima	al Subjects,			
30 that may affect this award?			or Embryonic stem cells?					
9. Does the Subrecipient have a negotiated indirect cost rate <a>								
32 (or experience setting up such a rate)?								
22 to Deac the preject include work environed by ITAD or FAD								
Ready								

FDP Clearinghouse

example



ng Organizations Data Access Help Resources Subcommittee Website

FDP Member Organization							
Tennessee Technological University							
General Information Contacts Registrations & IDs Certific	ations Audits Assurances Authorization						
Audits Single Audit							
Is entity subject to the Single Audit requirements in 2 CFR part 200?	Yes						
Most recent fiscal year with completed Single Audit	2022						
Is entity qualified as low-risk entity by Auditor or as defined in 2 CFR 200?	Yes						
Did the entity's most recent Single Audit contain any findings?	No						
Did the entity's most recent Single Audit contain any Significant Deficiencies?	No						
Did the entity's most recent Single Audit contain any Material Weaknesses?	No						
Most recent complete Single Audit Report	Download						
Single Audit Report from previous fiscal year	Download						
Management letter (if issued)	Not Applicable						
Department of Defense / Office of Naval Research System Review							

FFATA Reporting (Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act)

- Report required for all federal subawards and subcontracts
- Publicly accessible
- Information about the sub and their role on the project, such as:
 - Name
 - Location
 - Funding amount
 - Scope of work
 - Executive compensation (if applicable)

