

Tennessee Technological University



About This Report

About Your High-Impact Practices Report

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, certain undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." High-Impact Practices (HIPs) share several traits: They demand considerable time and effort, facilitate learning outside of the classroom, require meaningful interactions with faculty and students, encourage collaboration with diverse others, and provide frequent and substantive feedback. As a result, participation in these practices can be life-changing (Kuh, 2008). NSSE founding director George Kuh recommends that institutions should aspire for all students to participate in at least two HIPs over the course of their undergraduate experience—one during the first year and one in the context of their major (NSSE, 2007).

NSSE asks students about their participation in the six HIPs shown in the box at right. Unlike most questions on the NSSE survey, the HIP questions are not limited to the current school year. Thus, senior students' responses include participation from prior years.

High-Impact Practices in NSSE

Service-Learning Courses that included a community-based project

Learning Community

Formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together

Research with Faculty Work with a faculty member on a research project

Internship or Field Experience Internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement

Study Abroad

Culminating Senior Experience Capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, portfolio, etc.

Report Sections

•					
Participation Comparisons (p. 3)	Displays HIP participation for your students compared with that of students at your comparison group institutions. Two views present insights into your students' HIP participation:				
	Overall HIP Participation Displays the percentage of students who participated in one HIP and in two or more HIPs, relative to those at your comparison group institutions.				
	Statistical Comparisons Comparisons of participation in each HIP and overall for your students relative to those at comparison group institutions, with tests of significance and effect sizes.				
Response Detail (pp. 4-5)	Provides complete response frequencies for the relevant HIP questions for your students and those at your comparison group institutions. First-year results include a summary of their expectations for future HIP participation.				
Participation by Student Characteristics (p. 6)	Displays your students' participation in each HIP by selected student characteristics.				

Interpreting Comparisons

HIP participation varies more among students within an institution than it does between institutions, like many experiences and outcomes in higher education. As a result, focusing attention on overall participation rates amounts to examining the tip of the iceberg. It's equally important to understand how student engagement (including HIP participation) varies *within* your institution. The table on page 6 provides an initial look at how HIP participation varies by selected student characteristics. The Report Builder—Institution Version and your *Major Field Report* (both to be released in the fall) offer further perspectives on internal variation and can help you investigate your students' HIP participation in depth.

Kuh, G. D. (2008). High-impact educational practices: What they are, who has access to them, and why they matter. Washington, DC: Association of American Colleges and Universities. National Survey of Student Engagement (2007). Experiences that matter: Enhancing student learning and success—Annual Report 2007. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Center for Postsecondary Research.


Rocconi, L., & Gonyea, R. M. (2015, May). Contextualizing student engagement effect sizes: An empirical analysis. Paper presented at the Association for Institutional Research Annual Forum, Denver, CO.



NSSE 2017 High-Impact Practices Participation Comparisons Tennessee Technological University

Overall HIP Participation

The figures below display the percentage of students who participated in High-Impact Practices. Both figures include participation in service-learning, a learning community, and research with faculty. The senior figure also includes participation in an internship or field experience, study abroad, and culminating senior experience. The first segment in each bar shows the percentage who participated in at least two HIPs, and the full bar (both colors) represents the percentage who participated in at least one.



Statistical Comparisons

The table below displays the percentage of your students who participated in a given High-Impact Practice, including the percentage who participated overall (at least one, two or more). It also graphs the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison groups. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group percentages appear on the following pages.)

		Tour sudents participation compared with.						
	Tennessee Tech	Carnegie Clas	s	THEC Peer Gro	NSSE 2016 & 2017			
First-year	%	Difference ^a	ES ^b	Difference ^a	ES ^b	Difference ^a		ES ^b
12. Service-Learning	50	-4	09	-12	***25	-2		03
11c. Learning Community	5	-8	***29	-9	***32	-9	***	32
11e. Research with Faculty	5	+0	.02	-2	07	-0		.00
Participated in at least one	53	-6	*12	-14	***29	-5		09
Participated in two or more	6	-5	**18	-7	***24	-5	**	18
Senior								
12. Service-Learning	61	-0	.00	-4	09	+1		.02
11c. Learning Community	22	+3	.07	-2	04	-1		02
11e. Research with Faculty	29	+13	*** .31	+8	*** .19	+6	**	.13
11a. Internship or Field Exp.	56	+16	*** .31	+14	*** .27	+7	**	.15
11d. Study Abroad	9	+1	.03	+2	.09	-5	**	15
11f. Culminating Senior Exp.	55	+20	*** .40	+13	*** .26	+10	***	.19
Participated in at least one	90	+10	*** .27	+6	*** .18	+5	**	.15
Participated in two or more	70	+20	*** .41	+13	*** .27	+10	***	.21

Your students' participation compared with:

a. Percentage point differences (institution – comp. group) rounded to whole numbers. Values less than one may not display a bar and may be shown as +0 or -0. b. Cohen's *h* (standardized difference between two proportions). Effect sizes indicate the practical importance of observed differences. For service-learning,

internships, study abroad, and culminating senior experiences, an ES of about .2 may be considered small, .5 medium, and .8 large. For learning community and research with faculty, an ES of about .1 may be considered small, .3 medium, and .5 large (Rocconi & Gonyea, 2015).

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (*z*- test comparing participation rates).

Note: Participation includes the percentage of students who responded "Done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage who responded that at least "Some" courses included a community-based project. All results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).




Response Detail

Tennessee Technological University

Percentage responding "Plan to do"

First-Year Students



Plans to Participate^a


Knowing whether first-year students *plan* to participate in upper-division HIPs can reveal insights about HIP demand, awareness of opportunities, and the clarity of institutional information. These results might also point to topics for additional exploration, such as what contributes to students' expectations, their assumptions about who can participate, or why other students are undecided or have no plans to participate in the activity.



Internship or Field

Culminating Senior Experience

Complete a culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, portfolio, etc.).



a. Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* for details on the other response options.

Note: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).



Response Detail

Tennessee Technological University

Seniors

Service-Learning		% Most or all	% Some	% None	
About how many of your courses at this	Tennessee Tech	11	51	39	
institution have	Carnegie Class	14	47	39	
learning)?	THEC Peer Group	15	50	34	
	NSSE 2016 & 2017	12	48	40	
Learning Community	y	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Participate in a learning community or some	Tennessee Tech	22	9	14	55
other formal program where groups of	Carnegie Class	19	11	17	53
students take two or	THEC Peer Group	24	12	16	49
more classes together.	NSSE 2016 & 2017	23	10	14	53
Research with a Fac	ulty Member	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Work with a faculty member on a research	Tennessee Tech	29	12	14	45
project.	Carnegie Class	16	13	19	52
	THEC Peer Group	21	14	18	47
	NSSE 2016 & 2017	23	12	15	49
Internship or Field E	xperience	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Participate in an internship, co-op, field	Tennessee Tech	56	18	9	17
experience, student teaching, or clinical	Carnegie Class	41	26	13	21
placement.	THEC Peer Group	43	27	11	20
	NSSE 2016 & 2017	49	24	10	17
Study Abroad		% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Participate in a study abroad program.	Tennessee Tech	9	5	13	73
uoroud programi	Carnegie Class	8	8	15	69
	THEC Peer Group	7	9	15	69
	NSSE 2016 & 2017	14	7	12	67
Culminating Senior Experience		% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Complete a culminating senior experience	Tennessee Tech	55	22	7	16
(capstone course, senior project or thesis,	Carnegie Class	35	26	14	26
comprehensive exam,	THEC Peer Group	42	27	11	20
portfolio, etc.).	NSSE 2016 & 2017	45	24	10	21

Note: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).



Participation by Student Characteristics

Tennessee Technological University

Participation in High-Impact Practices by Student Characteristics

The table below displays the percentage of your students who participated in each HIP by selected student characteristics. Examining participation rates for different groups offers insight into how engagement varies within your student population.

	First-year				Senior					
	Service- Learning	Learning Community	Research with Faculty	Service- Learning	Learning Community	Research with Faculty	Internship or Field Experience	Study Abroad	Culminating Senior Experience	
Sex ^a Female Male	% 64 40	% 6 4	% 4 6	% 68 57	% 28 18	% 34 25	% 59 55	% 13 6	% 57 55	
Race/ethnicity or international ^a American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian/Other Pac. Islander White Other Foreign or nonresident alien	 55 93 49 49	0 14 5 5	9 0 4	 72 63 63	44 23 	 22 30 		- 41 - - 9 -	 28 57 	
Two or more races/ethnicities Age Traditional (FY < 21, Seniors < 25) Nontraditional (FY 21+, Seniors 25+)	69 52 58	5 5	13 4 10		24 18			12 5		
First-generation ^b Not first-generation First-generation	49 56	5	4	62 65	25 21	32 25	64 49	13 8	55 57	
Enrollment status ^a Not full-time Full-time	 52	 5	5	46 65	13 25	28 30	46 59	7	33 59	
Residence Not on campus On campus	59 47	5	2	64 49	22 27	29 30	56 67	10 11	55 70	
Major category ^c Arts & humanities Biological sciences, agriculture, natural res. Physical sciences, math, computer science Social sciences	55 50 24 65	9 10 0 6	0 10 3 0	53 66 30 65	13 30 11 12	47 67 29 42	60 46 48 62	20 11 7 12	73 47 36 50	
Business Communications, media, public relations Education Engineering	48 68 41	4 5	4 	51	20 — 33 23	13 5 32	35 — 88 67	14 — 0 10	49 — 64 65	
Health professions Social service professions Undecided/undeclared Overall	69 — 50	5 — — 5	2	86 61	29 22	25 — — 29	61 — — 56	12 — — 9	53 55	

Notes: Percentage of students who responded "Done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage who responded that at least "Some" courses included a communitybased project. Percentages are not reported (—) for row categories containing fewer than 10 students. Results are unweighted, except for overall percentages which are weighted by sex and enrollment status.

a. Institution-reported variable.

b. Neither parent holds a bachelor's degree.

c. These are NSSE's default related-major categories, based on first major if more than one was reported. Institution-customized major categories will be included on the *Major Field Report*, to be released in the fall. Excludes majors categorized as "all other."