

NSSE 2017 Topical Module Report Experiences with Information Literacy

Tennessee Technological University

This page intentionally left blank.



Administration Summary Tennessee Technological University

About This Topical Module

Developed in collaboration with college and university librarians, this module asks students about their use of information and how much their instructors emphasized the proper use of information sources. This module complements questions on the core survey about higher-order learning and how much writing students do.

Comparison Group

This section summarizes how this module's comparison group was identified, including selection criteria and whether the default option was taken. This is followed by the resulting list of institutions represented in the 'Information Literacy' column of this report.

Group label	Information Literacy
Date submitted	5/2/17
How was this comparison group constructed?	Your institution retained the default comparison group (all module participants).
Group description	All other current- and prior-year NSSE institutions who administered module "Experiences with Information Literacy"

Information Literacy (N=77)

Albright College (Reading, PA)*	Indiana University of Pennsylvania (Indiana, PA)
American Public University System (Charles Town, WV)*	Longwood University (Farmville, VA)

Assumption College (Worcester, MA)*

Loyola Marymount University (Los Angeles, CA)

ASU Online (Scottsdale, AZ)

Marian University (Indianapolis, IN)

Becker College (Worcester, MA)

Mars Hill University (Mars Hill, NC)

Berkeley College (New York, NY)

Maryland Institute College of Art (Baltimore, MD)

Berry College (Mount Berry, GA)* Mercy College (Dobbs Ferry, NY)

Brenau University (Gainesville, GA)* Middle Tennessee State University (Murfreesboro, TN)*

Briercrest College and Seminary (Caronport, SK)

Nevada State College (Henderson, NV)

Brigham Young University (Provo, UT)

Brigham Young University-Hawaii (Laie, HI)*

New York Institute of Technology (Old Westbury, NY)

Northwest Nazarene University (Nampa, ID)

California Lutheran University (Thousand Oaks, CA) Northwestern Oklahoma State University (Alva, OK)

California Polytechnic State University-San Luis Obispo (San Luis Obispo, CA)

California State University San Marcos (San Marcos, CA)*

California State University-Stanislaus (Turlock, CA)

Ohio State University-Lima Campus (Lima, OH)*

Ohio State University-Mansfield Campus (Mansfield, OH)*

California State University, Monterey Bay (Seaside, CA)

Ohio State University-Marion Campus (Marion, OH)*

Cameron University (Lawton, OK)*

Ohio State University, The (Columbus, OH)*

Central Connecticut State University (New Britain, CT)

Ouachita Baptist University (Arkadelphia, AR)*

Chadron State College (Chadron, NE)

Point Loma Nazarene University (San Diego, CA)

Cheyney University of Pennsylvania (Cheyney, PA)*

Samford University (Birmingham, AL)

Christopher Newport University (Newport News, VA)

Claremont McKenna College (Claremont, CA)

Dakota Wesleyan University (Mitchell, SD)

Southwestern Adventist University (Keene, TX)

St. Bonaventure University (Saint Bonaventure, NY)*

Dominican College of Blauvelt (Orangeburg, NY)

Eastern Connecticut State University (Willimantic, CT)

State University of New York at Geneseo, The (Geneseo, NY)

Suffolk University (Boston, MA)

Eastern Michigan University (Ypsilanti, MI)*

SUNY Empire State College (Saratoga Springs, NY)

Ferris State University (Grand Rapids, MI)*

Temple University (Philadelphia, PA)*

Grand Valley State University (Allendale, MI)*

Towson University (Towson, MD)

Greensboro College (Greensboro, NC)

United States Naval Academy (Annapolis, MD)

Grinnell College (Grinnell, IA)

University of Baltimore (Baltimore, MD)*

Information Literacy (N=77), continued

University of California-Merced (Merced, CA)

University of Charleston (Charleston, WV)*

University of Hawai'i-West O'ahu (Kapolei, HI)*

University of Louisiana at Lafayette (Lafayette, LA)*

University of Maine at Fort Kent (Fort Kent, ME)

University of Massachusetts Lowell (Lowell, MA)*

University of Montana (Missoula, MT)*

University of Montevallo (Montevallo, AL)*

University of North Carolina at Greensboro, The (Greensboro, NC)*

University of Phoenix - Arizona/ONLINE (Tempe, AZ)

University of Phoenix - California (Costa Mesa, CA)

University of Tampa, The (Tampa, FL)

University of Toledo (Toledo, OH)

Ursuline College (Pepper Pike, OH)*

Wartburg College (Waverly, IA)*

West Chester University of Pennsylvania (West Chester, PA)*

William Paterson University of New Jersey (Wayne, NJ)*



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Tennessee Technological University

First-Year Students

				Frequency Di		i stributio Informatio Literacy	on	Statistical Co	omparisons ^b Information Literacy	
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size ^d
1. During the current school year, a		ten have v	you done the following?							
a. Completed an assignment that used	INL01a	1	Never	13	4	434	3			
an information source (book,		2	Sometimes	101	33	3,931	22			
article, website, etc.) other than required course readings		3	Often	106	35	6,512	37	2.9	3.1 ***	28
required course readings		4	Very often	86	28	6,352	38		∇	
			Total	306	100	17,229	100			
b. Worked on a paper or project that	INL01b	1	Never	35	12	910	6			
had multiple smaller assignments		2	Sometimes	109	35	4,698	28			
such as an outline, annotated bibliography, rough draft, etc.		3	Often	101	34	6,751	38	2.6	2.9 ***	32
olologiupily, rough didit, etc.		4	Very often	60	20	4,812	28		\blacksquare	
			Total	305	100	17,171	100			
c. Received feedback from an	INL01c	1	Never	33	11	967	6			
instructor that improved your use of information resources (source		2	Sometimes	100	32	4,917	28			
selection, proper citation, etc.)		3	Often	119	40	6,951	39	2.6	2.9 ***	26
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		4	Very often	54	18	4,321	27		∇	
			Total	306	100	17,156	100			
d. Completed an assignment that used	INL01d	1	Never	66	22	3,071	18			
the library's electronic collection of		2	Sometimes	149	49	5,915	33			
articles, books, and journals (JSTOR, EBSCO, LexisNexis,		3	Often	57	19	4,898	28	2.2	2.5 ***	35
ProQuest, etc.)		4	Very often	33	11	3,285	21		•	
			Total	305	100	17,169	100			
e. Decided not to use an information	INL01e	1	Never	78	25	4,597	28			
source in a course assignment due		2	Sometimes	138	46	7,119	41			
to its questionable quality		3	Often	65	22	3,852	22	2.1	2.1	02
		4	Very often	22	7	1,574	10			
			Total	303	100	17,142	100			
f. Changed the focus of a paper or	INL01f	1	Never	59	20	2,754	18			
project based on information you		2	Sometimes	149	48	7,715	45			
found while researching the topic		3	Often	73	24	4,879	27	2.2	2.3 *	12
		4	Very often	23	7	1,776	10		∇	
			Total	304	100	17,124	100			
g. Looked for a reference that was	INL01g	1	Never	58	19	2,717	15			
cited in something you read		2	Sometimes	125	41	6,553	37			
		3	Often	89	30	5,491	32	2.3	2.5 **	17
		4	Very often	32	11	2,358	15		∇	
			Total	304	100	17,119	100			
h. Identified how a book, article, or	INL01h	1	Never	80	26	3,377	19			
creative work has contributed to a		2	Sometimes	139	46	6,691	39			
field of study		3	Often	62	20	4,975	29	2.1	2.4 ***	27
		4	Very often	24	8	2,044	13		∇	
			Total	305	100	17,087	100			



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Tennessee Technological University

First-Year Students

				Frequency Distributions ^a				Statistical Comparisons ^b			
						Information		Tauranaa Taab	Inform		
						Literacy		Tennessee Tech	Liter		
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size ^d	
2. During the current school year,	how much ha	ve your in	structors emphasized the	e following?							
a. Not plagiarizing another author's	INL02a	1	Very little	4	1	304	2				
work		2	Some	26	8	1,243	7				
		3	Quite a bit	70	24	3,907	22	3.6	3.6	03	
		4	Very much	205	67	11,679	69				
			Total	305	100	17,133	100				
b. Appropriately citing the sources	INL02b	1	Very little	8	3	314	2				
used in a paper or project		2	Some	40	13	1,652	9				
		3	Quite a bit	93	32	4,967	27	3.3	3.5 **	19	
		4	Very much	164	52	10,196	61		∇		
			Total	305	100	17,129	100				
c. Using scholarly or peer reviewed	INL02c	1	Very little	14	5	682	4				
sources in your course assignments		2	Some	63	21	2,573	15				
		3	Quite a bit	102	34	5,320	30	3.1	3.3 **	18	
		4	Very much	126	41	8,533	50		∇		
			Total	305	100	17,108	100				
d. Questioning the quality of	INL02d	1	Very little	20	7	989	7				
information sources		2	Some	72	24	3,461	20				
		3	Quite a bit	110	37	5,567	31	3.0	3.1 *	14	
		4	Very much	102	33	7,077	42		∇		
			Total	304	100	17,094	100				
e. Using practices (terminology,	INL02e	1	Very little	21	7	1,475	9				
methods, writing style, etc.) of a		2	Some	101	33	4,332	25				
specific major or field of study		3	Quite a bit	86	29	5,337	30	2.8	2.9	11	
		4	Very much	95	31	5,840	36				
			Total	303	100	16,984	100				
3. How much has your experience	at this institu	tion contr	ibuted to your knowledge	e, skills, and p	erson	al developm	ent in	using information	effectivel	y?	
	INL03	1	Very little	19	6	488	4				
		2	Some	68	23	3,231	19				
		3	Quite a bit	132	44	7,585	43	2.9	3.1 **	18	
		4	Very much	85	27	5,813	34		∇		
			Total	304	100	17,117	100				



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Tennessee Technological University

Seniors

				Frequent Tennessee		i stributio Informati Literacy	on	Statistical C	Omparisons b Information Literacy	
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size ^d
1. During the current school year, a		ften have v	you done the following?						····cuii	
a. Completed an assignment that used	INL01a	1	Never	16	5	605	3			
an information source (book,		2	Sometimes	98	22	3,919	16			
article, website, etc.) other than		3	Often	136	30	7,214	30	3.1	3.3 ***	23
required course readings		4	Very often	192	43	11,966	52	0,1	▽	.25
			Total	442	100	23,704	100		•	
b. Worked on a paper or project that	INL01b	1	Never	62	15	1,993	9			
had multiple smaller assignments		2	Sometimes	131	30	6,360	27			
such as an outline, annotated		3	Often	138	31	7,636	31	2.6	2.9 ***	23
bibliography, rough draft, etc.		4	Very often	109	24	7,670	33		∇	
			Total	440	100	23,659	100			
c. Received feedback from an	INL01c	1	Never	47	12	2,275	10			
instructor that improved your use		2	Sometimes	145	34	6,897	29			
of information resources (source		3	Often	146	32	7,957	33	2.6	2.8 **	14
selection, proper citation, etc.)		4	Very often	101	22	6,491	28		∇	
			Total	439	100	23,620	100		•	
d. Completed an assignment that used	INL01d	1	Never	136	34	3,178	14			
the library's electronic collection of		2	Sometimes	134	30	5,983	25			
articles, books, and journals		3	Often	93	20	6,194	26	2.2	2.8 ***	58
(JSTOR, EBSCO, LexisNexis, ProQuest, etc.)		4	Very often	75	16	8,300	35		V	
1 Toquest, etc.)			Total	438	100	23,655	100		•	
e. Decided not to use an information	INL01e	1	Never	113	27	6,726	30			
source in a course assignment due		2	Sometimes	189	42	9,198	38			
to its questionable quality		3	Often	105	23	4,962	20	2.1	2.1	05
		4	Very often	32	7	2,757	12			.02
			Total	439	100	23,643	100			
f. Changed the focus of a paper or	INL01f	1	Never	107	27	3,988	18			
project based on information you		2	Sometimes	183	40	10,614	46			
found while researching the topic		3	Often	119	26	6,119	25	2.1	2.3 ***	19
		4	Very often	30	7	2,891	12	2.1	∇	.17
			Total	439	100	23,612	100		•	
g. Looked for a reference that was	INL01g	1	Never	72	18	3,097	13			
cited in something you read	Č	2	Sometimes	164	37	8,217	34			
		3	Often	148	33	7,403	31	2.4	2.6 ***	22
		4	Very often	54	12	4,893	21	2.7	∇	22
			Total	438	100	23,610	100		*	
h. Identified how a book, article, or	INL01h	1	Never	93	22	3,961	17			
creative work has contributed to a		2	Sometimes	178	41	8,606	36			
field of study		3	Often	116	26	6,989	29	2.3	2.5 ***	21
		4	Very often	51	11	3,983	17	2.0	Z.3 · · ·	41
		•	Total	438	100	23,539	100		•	
			10.01	450	100	23,339	100			



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Tennessee Technological University

Seniors

				Frequency Distributions ^a			Statistical Comparison			
				Information			Information			
				Tennessee 7	Гесһ	ch Literacy		Tennessee Tech	Liter	асу
	Variable									Effect
Item wording or description	name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size ^d
2. During the current school year,	how much ha	ve your in	structors emphasized the	following?						
a. Not plagiarizing another author's	INL02a	1	Very little	12	3	812	3			
work		2	Some	40	10	2,407	10			
		3	Quite a bit	95	22	5,321	22	3.5	3.5	.00
		4	Very much	291	65	15,085	65			
			Total	438	100	23,625	100			
b. Appropriately citing the sources	INL02b	1	Very little	33	9	855	4			
used in a paper or project		2	Some	64	15	2,584	11			
		3	Quite a bit	111	25	6,093	25	3.2	3.4 ***	28
		4	Very much	230	51	14,061	61		∇	
			Total	438	100	23,593	100			
c. Using scholarly or peer reviewed	INL02c	1	Very little	43	11	1,334	6			
sources in your course assignments		2	Some	72	17	3,037	13			
		3	Quite a bit	113	26	6,008	25	3.1	3.3 ***	25
		4	Very much	208	46	13,189	55		∇	
			Total	436	100	23,568	100			
d. Questioning the quality of	INL02d	1	Very little	51	12	2,298	11			
information sources		2	Some	96	23	5,180	22			
		3	Quite a bit	117	26	6,668	27	2.9	3.0	05
		4	Very much	173	38	9,372	40			
			Total	437	100	23,518	100			
e. Using practices (terminology,	INL02e	1	Very little	27	7	1,377	6			
methods, writing style, etc.) of a		2	Some	82	19	4,380	19			
specific major or field of study		3	Quite a bit	134	31	7,095	30	3.1	3.1	02
		4	Very much	191	44	10,550	45			
			Total	434	100	23,402	100			
3. How much has your experience	at this institu	tion contr	ibuted to your knowledge	e. skills, and r	erson	al developm	ent in i	using information	effectivel	v?
	INL03	1	Very little	11	3	528	3	3		,.
		2	Some	59	15	3,184	15			
		3	Quite a bit	174	39	8,940	37	3.2	3.3	03
		4	Very much	194	44	10,969	45	3.2	5.5	03
			Total	438	100	23,621	100			



Detailed Statistics^e Tennessee Technological University

First-Year Students

		,				dard	h	. 1	Effect	
	N	Mean		Mean Standard error ^T			ntion ^g	DF ^h	Sig.	size ^d
Variable		Information			Information		Information	Compo	arisons with:	
name	Tennessee Tech	Tennessee Tech	Literacy	Tennessee Tech	Literacy	Tennessee Tech	Literacy	Informa	У	
INL01a	306	2.87	3.10	.05	.01	0.88	0.84	17,169	.000	28
INL01b	305	2.61	2.89	.05	.01	0.93	0.88	314	.000	32
INL01c	306	2.64	2.87	.05	.01	0.89	0.88	17,101	.000	26
INL01d	305	2.18	2.53	.05	.01	0.90	1.02	319	.000	35
INL01e	304	2.12	2.14	.05	.01	0.87	0.93	316	.674	02
INL01f	304	2.20	2.30	.05	.01	0.84	0.88	316	.030	12
INL01g	304	2.32	2.48	.05	.01	0.90	0.93	17,044	.004	17
INL01h	305	2.10	2.36	.05	.01	0.88	0.94	317	.000	27
INL02a	305	3.56	3.58	.04	.01	0.70	0.71	17,052	.612	03
INL02b	305	3.34	3.48	.05	.01	0.81	0.75	314	.003	19
INL02c	305	3.11	3.26	.05	.01	0.89	0.87	17,039	.002	18
INL02d	304	2.96	3.09	.05	.01	0.91	0.94	315	.015	14
INL02e	303	2.84	2.94	.05	.01	0.95	0.98	16,900	.066	11
INL03	304	2.92	3.07	.05	.01	0.86	0.83	17,031	.002	18



Detailed Statistics^e Tennessee Technological University

Seniors

								Effect		
	N	Mean		Mean Standard error ^f			tion ^g	DF ^h	Sig.	size ^d
Variable			Information		Information		Information	Comp	arisons with:	
name	Tennessee Tech	Tennessee Tech	Literacy	Tennessee Tech	Literacy	Tennessee Tech	Literacy	Inform	/	
INL01a	442	3.11	3.30	.04	.01	0.91	0.84	21,218	.000	23
INL01b	441	2.65	2.87	.05	.01	1.00	0.97	457	.000	23
INL01c	438	2.64	2.78	.05	.01	0.95	0.97	21,133	.003	14
INL01d	438	2.19	2.81	.05	.01	1.08	1.07	21,163	.000	58
INL01e	439	2.10	2.14	.04	.01	0.88	0.97	461	.304	05
INL01f	438	2.13	2.30	.04	.01	0.89	0.90	21,123	.000	19
INL01g	437	2.40	2.61	.04	.01	0.91	0.96	456	.000	22
INL01h	437	2.27	2.47	.04	.01	0.93	0.97	456	.000	21
INL02a	436	3.49	3.49	.04	.01	0.80	0.80	21,129	.967	.00
INL02b	436	3.19	3.42	.05	.01	0.99	0.83	448	.000	28
INL02c	434	3.07	3.30	.05	.01	1.03	0.92	448	.000	25
INL02d	436	2.91	2.96	.05	.01	1.05	1.02	21,028	.271	05
INL02e	432	3.11	3.13	.05	.01	0.95	0.94	20,918	.630	02
INL03	437	3.23	3.25	.04	.01	0.81	0.80	21,129	.601	03



Endnotes

Tennessee Technological University

Endnotes

- a. Column percentages are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding. Counts are unweighted; column percentages cannot be replicated from counts.
- b. All statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Unless otherwise noted, statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests. Items with categorical response sets are left blank.
- c. These are the values used to calculate means. For the majority of items, these values match the codes in the data file and codebook.
- d. Effect size for independent t-tests uses Cohen's d; z-tests use Cohen's h.
- e. Statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Categorical items are not listed.
- f. The 95% confidence interval for the population mean is equal to the sample mean plus or minus 1.96 times the standard error of the mean.
- g. A measure of the amount individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.
- h. Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values differ from Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.
- i. Statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent *t*-tests or *z*-tests. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between your students' mean and that of the students in the comparison group is due to chance.
- j. Statistical comparison uses z- test to compare the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes" with all who responded otherwise.
- k. Mean represents the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes."

Key to symbols:

- **Your students' average** was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- ∇ Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- **Your students' average** was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

Note: It is important to interpret the direction of differences relative to item wording and your institutional context.