

# **NSSE 2024 Topical Module Report Development of Transferable Skills**

Tennessee Technological University

Adapted from a pilot survey that was developed by the American Association of State Colleges and Universities, this module examines activities that develop useful and transferable skills for the workplace and beyond (such as verbal and written fluency, critical thinking, creative thinking, problem solving, project management, and time management). The module complements questions on the core survey about higher-order learning, reflective and integrative learning, and students' perceptions of their development in a variety of areas.

Comparison group details are in the online Selected Comparison Groups report, linked in the Data & Reports table on the Institution Interface. A PDF copy is also saved in your report download folder.

This page intentionally left blank.



### Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Tennessee Technological University

				Frequency Distributions <sup>a</sup>				Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
						Transferat	ole				
				Tennessee	Гесh	Skills		Tennessee Tech	Transferat		
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>d</sup>	
							70	wear	wean	5120	
<ol> <li>During the current school year, v         <ol> <li>Discussed or debated an issue of</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	TRNdebate	e-related	l or not, about how often Never	have you doi 79	ne the 25	2,518	19				
social, political, or philosophical	TRINUCUALC	2	Sometimes	130	42	5,264	40				
importance		2	Often	68	23	3,533	27	2.2	2.4 ***	10	
		4	Very often	31	10	1,858	14	2.2	2.4 *** ▼	19	
		·	Total	308	100	13,173	100		v		
b. Made a speech to a group	TRNspeech	1	Never	81	27	4,066	31				
1 8 1	1	2	Sometimes	131	42	5,160	39				
		3	Often	68	23	2,650	20	2.1	2.1	.02	
		4	Very often	26	8	1,287	10		2.1	.02	
			Total	306	100	13,163	100				
c. Worked in a group with people	TRNgroup	1	Never	21	7	915	7				
who differed from you in terms of		2	Sometimes	121	39	4,558	35				
background, political orientation, points of view, etc.		3	Often	117	38	5,186	39	2.6	2.7	09	
points of view, etc.		4	Very often	49	15	2,496	19				
			Total	308	100	13,155	100				
d. Discussed the ethical consequences	TRNethical	1	Never	61	21	2,054	16				
of a course of action		2	Sometimes	137	44	5,336	40				
		3	Often	81	26	4,101	31	2.2	2.4 **	18	
		4	Very often	29	9	1,666	12		$\nabla$		
			Total	308	100	13,157	100				
e. Evaluated the credibility of	TRNcred	1	Never	26	9	838	7				
information sources		2	Sometimes	116	38	4,044	31				
		3	Often	115	37	5,387	41	2.6	2.8 ***	21	
		4	Very often	50	16	2,877	22		$\nabla$		
			Total	307	100	13,146	100				
f. Discussed complex problems with	TRNcomplex	1	Never	28	10	1,026	8				
others to develop a better solution		2	Sometimes	124	41	4,530	35				
		3	Often	105	33	5,195	39	2.6	2.7 *	12	
		4	Very often	49	16	2,383	18		$\nabla$		
			Total	306	100	13,134	100				
<ul> <li>g. Generated multiple solutions to a problem or task</li> </ul>	TRNsolution	1	Never	16	5	747	6				
problem of task		2	Sometimes	118	39	4,464	34	2.5			
			Often	119	39	5,475	41	2.7	2.7	05	
		4	Very often	53	17	2,450	18				
h Combined dissimilar concents to	TRNcreate	1	Total Never	306 78	100	13,136	100				
<ul> <li>h. Combined dissimilar concepts to create a novel idea</li> </ul>	IKINCreate	2	Sometimes	124	41	5,607					
		2	Often				42	2.2			
		3 4	Very often	79 26	25 8	3,506 1,286	27 10	2.2	2.3	11	
		-	Total	307	0 100	1,280	100				
i. Adapted a previously used solution	TRNadapt	1	Never	36	100	1,312	100				
to a new situation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	Sometimes	126	41	5,057	39				
		3	Often	120	33	4,922	37	2.5	2.5	06	
		4	Very often	43	14	1,806	14	2.0	2.3	00	
		-	Total	306	100	13,097	100				
				200		-0,077					



### Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Tennessee Technological University

				Frequency Distributions <sup>a</sup>			ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical C	Compar	isons <sup>b</sup>
						Transferat				
				Tennessee	Геch	Skills		Tennessee Tech	Transfera	ble Skills
	Variable									Effect
Item wording or description	name	Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>d</sup>
j. Referred to online content (tutorial,	TRNonlsolve	1	Never	10	3	530	4			
forum, webpage, etc.) to solve a		2	Sometimes	81	27	3,260	25			
problem		3	Often	132	43	5,127	39	2.9	3.0	04
		4	Very often	83	27	4,181	31			
			Total	306	100	13,098	100			
k. Referred to online content (tutorial,	TRNonlskill	1	Never	12	4	563	5			
forum, webpage, etc.) to learn a		2	Sometimes	85	28	3,588	28			
new skill or procedure		3	Often	123	40	5,061	38	2.9	2.9	.00
		4	Very often	86	28	3,885	29			
			Total	306	100	13,097	100			
l. Used project management tools to	TRNproject	1	Never	38	13	1,417	12			
plan, organize, or schedule tasks		2	Sometimes	122	40	4,539	35			
		3	Often	94	32	4,647	35	2.5	2.6	11
		4	Very often	51	15	2,489	18			
			Total	305	100	13,092	100			
n. Used a daily or weekly "to do" list	TRNtodo	1	Never	48	18	1,074	10			
		2	Sometimes	80	27	3,147	25			
		3	Often	69	23	3,223	25	2.7	2.9 ***	*24
		4	Very often	109	32	5,670	40		$\nabla$	
			Total	306	100	13,114	100			
n. Prioritized what tasks need to be	TRNtasks	1	Never	9	3	205	2			
accomplished		2	Sometimes	58	20	1,984	16			
		3	Often	117	40	4,557	35	3.1	3.3 ***	*20
		4	Very often	122	37	6,327	47		$\nabla$	
			Total	306	100	13,073	100			
o. Worked longer hours than usual to	TRNhours	1	Never	22	8	949	8			
meet deadlines (i.e., after midnight,		2	Sometimes	92	30	3,508	27			
before dawn)		3	Often	99	33	3,870	30	2.8	2.9	11
		4	Very often	94	29	4,783	35			
			Total	307	100	13,110	100			
p. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrsource	1	Never	25	9	443	4			
article, etc.) that used information		2	Sometimes	107	36	3,297	26			
from a variety of sources (books,		3	Often	101	33	4,761	36	2.7	3.0 ***	*37
journals, Internet, databases, etc.)		4	Very often	74	22	4,585	34		V	
			Total	307	100	13,086	100		·	
q. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrassess	1	Never	47	16	1,542	12			
article, etc.) that assessed the		2	Sometimes	118	39	4,418	34			
conclusions of a published work		3	Often	93	31	4,156	32	2.4	2.6 ***	*22
		4	Very often	47	14	2,957	22		V	
			Total	305	100	13,073	100		·	



### Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Tennessee Technological University

\_

l.

				Frequen	cy D	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
						Transferat	ole				
				Tennessee 1	Геch	Skills		Tennessee Tech	Transferal	ole Skills	
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>d</sup>	
r. Written something (paper, report, article, etc.) that included ideas	TRNwrideas	1	Never	46	16	1,609	13				
		2	Sometimes	127	41	4,676	36				
from more than one academic discipline		3	Often	85	28	4,152	31	2.4	2.6 **	16	
uiscipinie		4	Very often	48	15	2,629	20		$\nabla$		
			Total	306	100	13,066	100				
s. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrviews	1	Never	34	12	1,180	9				
article, etc.) that presented multiple		2	Sometimes	129	42	4,732	36				
viewpoints or perspectives		3	Often	88	29	4,475	34	2.5	2.7 **	17	
		4	Very often	54	16	2,696	20		$\nabla$		
			Total	305	100	13,083	100				



### **Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Tennessee Technological University**

#### **Seniors**

				Frequen Tennessee		<b>istributio</b> Transferat Skills			Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup> Tennessee Tech Transferable Skills		
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>d</sup>	
L. During the current school year, v	whether cours	e-related	or not, about how of	ten have vou doi	ne the	following?					
a. Discussed or debated an issue of	TRNdebate	1	Never	134	23	2,451	19				
social, political, or philosophical		2	Sometimes	258	46	5,088	37				
importance		3	Often	104	19	3,586	26	2.2	2.4 ***	24	
		4	Very often	66	12	2,414	18		$\nabla$		
			Total	562	100	13,539	100				
b. Made a speech to a group	TRNspeech	1	Never	107	19	3,156	24				
		2	Sometimes	265	48	5,585	41				
		3	Often	113	20	3,050	22	2.3	2.2	.04	
		4	Very often	78	14	1,752	13				
			Total	563	100	13,543	100				
c. Worked in a group with people	TRNgroup	1	Never	29	5	795	6				
who differed from you in terms of		2	Sometimes	212	39	4,273	31				
background, political orientation,		3	Often	203	35	5,186	38	2.7	2.8 *	09	
points of view, etc.		4	Very often	116	21	3,279	24		$\nabla$		
			Total	560	100	13,533	100				
d. Discussed the ethical consequences	TRNethical	1	Never	73	13	1,659	13				
of a course of action		2	Sometimes	246	44	5,007	37				
		3	Often	177	31	4,499	33	2.4	2.6 ***	14	
		4	Very often	67	12	2,366	17		$\nabla$		
			Total	563	100	13,531	100		· ·		
E. Evaluated the credibility of	TRNcred	1	Never	34	6	767	6				
information sources		2	Sometimes	195	35	3,732	28				
		3	Often	205	36	5,234	38	2.8	2.9 **	14	
		4	Very often	129	23	3,787	28		$\nabla$		
			Total	563	100	13,520	100		·		
f. Discussed complex problems with	TRNcomplex	1	Never	38	7	805	6				
others to develop a better solution		2	Sometimes	186	33	3,795	28				
		3	Often	206	36	5,486	40	2.8	2.8	08	
		4	Very often	133	24	3,431	25				
			Total	563	100	13,517	100				
g. Generated multiple solutions to a	TRNsolution	1	Never	38	7	613	5				
problem or task		2	Sometimes	171	30	3,820	29				
		3	Often	225	40	5,712	42	2.8	2.9 *	09	
		4	Very often	128	23	3,367	25		$\nabla$		
			Total	562	100	13,512	100				
h. Combined dissimilar concepts to	TRNcreate	1	Never	117	20	2,296	17				
create a novel idea		2	Sometimes	272	49	5,791	43				
		3	Often	120	21	3,674	27	2.2	2.4 ***	17	
		4	Very often	54	10	1,736	13		$\nabla$		
			Total	563	100	13,497	100				
i. Adapted a previously used solution	TRNadapt	1	Never	50	9	1,088	8				
to a new situation		2	Sometimes	215	38	4,774	35				
		3	Often	202	36	5,196	39	2.6	2.7	06	
		4	Very often	95	17	2,442	18				
			Total	562	100	13,500	100				



### Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Tennessee Technological University

#### **Seniors**

				Frequen	cy Di	stributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical (	Compar	isons
						Transferal	ole			
				Tennessee <sup>-</sup>	Геch	Skills		Tennessee Tech	Transfera	ble Skill
	Variable									Effect
Item wording or description	name	Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size <sup>d</sup>
j. Referred to online content (tutorial,	TRNonlsolve	1	Never	26	5	471	4			
forum, webpage, etc.) to solve a problem		2	Sometimes	115	20	2,962	22			
problem		3	Often	204	36	4,901	36	3.1	3.1	.00
		4	Very often	218	39	5,179	38			
			Total	563	100	13,513	100			
k. Referred to online content (tutorial,	TRNonlskill	1	Never	26	5	538	4			
forum, webpage, etc.) to learn a new skill or procedure		2	Sometimes	147	26	3,243	24			
new skill of procedure		3	Often	186	33	4,968	37	3.0	3.0	03
		4	Very often	199	36	4,751	35			
			Total	558	100	13,500	100			
l. Used project management tools to	TRNproject	1	Never	63	11	1,392	11			
plan, organize, or schedule tasks		2	Sometimes	194	35	4,107	31			
		3	Often	176	31	4,764	35	2.6	2.7	07
		4	Very often	130	23	3,219	23			
			Total	563	100	13,482	100			
<ol> <li>Used a daily or weekly "to do" list</li> </ol>	TRNtodo	1	Never	62	12	993	8			
		2	Sometimes	127	23	2,710	21			
		3	Often	122	22	3,285	25	3.0	3.1 **	13
		4	Very often	252	43	6,519	46		$\nabla$	
			Total	563	100	13,507	100			
n. Prioritized what tasks need to be	TRNtasks	1	Never	10	2	188	2			
accomplished		2	Sometimes	72	13	1,554	12			
		3	Often	172	31	4,251	32	3.4	3.4	03
		4	Very often	308	54	7,507	54			
			Total	562	100	13,500	100			
o. Worked longer hours than usual to	TRNhours	1	Never	35	6	883	7			
meet deadlines (i.e., after midnight,		2	Sometimes	151	27	3,426	26			
before dawn)		3	Often	152	27	3,583	27	3.0	3.0	04
		4	Very often	223	39	5,614	41			
			Total	561	100	13,506	100			
p. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrsource	1	Never	37	7	432	4			
article, etc.) that used information		2	Sometimes	172	32	2,655	21			
from a variety of sources (books, journals, Internet, databases, etc.)		3	Often	188	33	4,337	32	2.8	3.2 ***	*38
Journais, internet, databases, etc.)		4	Very often	162	28	6,062	44		V	
			Total	559	100	13,486	100			
q. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrassess	1	Never	94	17	1,437	11			
article, etc.) that assessed the		2	Sometimes	208	38	4,088	31			
conclusions of a published work		3	Often	143	25	3,970	29	2.5	2.8 ***	*27
		4	Very often	114	20	3,982	29	110	▼	,
			Total	559	100	13,477	100		¥	



### Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons Tennessee Technological University

#### **Seniors**

				Frequen	cy D	istributio	ns <sup>a</sup>	Statistical Comparisons <sup>b</sup>			
						Transferat	ole				
				Tennessee	Геch	Skills		Tennessee Tech	Transferat	ole Skills	
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values <sup>c</sup>	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size <sup>d</sup>	
r. Written something (paper, report, article, etc.) that included ideas	TRNwrideas	1	Never	90	16	1,321	11				
		2	Sometimes	226	41	4,249	32				
from more than one academic discipline		3	Often	145	25	4,183	31	2.4	2.7 ***	30	
uiscipille		4	Very often	102	18	3,741	27		▼		
			Total	563	100	13,494	100				
s. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrviews	1	Never	103	18	1,227	10				
article, etc.) that presented multiple		2	Sometimes	224	41	4,596	34				
viewpoints or perspectives		3	Often	143	25	4,284	32	2.4	2.7 ***	34	
		4	Very often	92	16	3,381	24		▼		
			Total	562	100	13,488	100				



### Detailed Statistics<sup>e</sup> Tennessee Technological University

	N	Me	an	Standa	rd error <sup>f</sup>		idard ation <sup>g</sup>	DF <sup>h</sup>	Sig. <sup>i</sup>	Effect size <sup>d</sup>	
Variable		IVIC		Standa		uevi			arisons with:		
name	Tennessee Tech	Tennessee Tech	nessee Tech Transferable Skills		Transferable Skills	Tennessee Tech	Transferable Skills	Transferable Skills			
TRNdebate	306	2.17	2.35	.053	.008	.92	.94	320	.001	19	
TRNspeech	305	2.12	2.10	.052	.008	.90	.95	14,328	.732	.02	
TRNgroup	306	2.62	2.69	.047	.007	.83	.86	14,322	.118	09	
TRNethical	307	2.24	2.39	.050	.008	.88	.90	320	.002	18	
TRNcred	305	2.59	2.77	.049	.007	.86	.86	14,313	.000	21	
TRNcomplex	304	2.56	2.66	.050	.007	.87	.86	14,296	.034	12	
TRNsolution	305	2.68	2.72	.047	.007	.82	.83	14,305	.377	05	
TRNcreate	305	2.16	2.26	.052	.008	.90	.90	14,260	.068	11	
	304	2.49	2.55	.050	.007	.87	.85	14,249	.262	06	
TRNadapt	305	2.94	2.95	.047	.007	.81	.86	14,258	.457	04	
TRNonlsolve	305	2.94	2.97	.048	.007	.84	.86	14,251	.965	.00	
TRNonlskill	304	2.92	2.52	.052	.008	.90	.92	14,250	.052	11	
TRNproject	304	2.49	2.94	.063	.008	1.10	1.03	315	.032	24	
TRNtodo											
TRNtasks	305	3.11	3.27	.048	.007	.83	.79	14,233	.001	20	
TRNhours	305	2.82	2.92	.054	.008	.94	.96	14,268	.064	11	
TRNwrsource	306	2.68	3.01	.053	.007	.92	.86	317	.000	37	
TRNwrassess	303	2.43	2.64	.053	.008	.92	.95	14,233	.000	22	
TRNwrideas	305	2.43	2.58	.053	.008	.93	.94	14,220	.006	16	
TRNwrviews	303	2.50	2.66	.052	.008	.90	.90	14,246	.004	17	



## Detailed Statistics<sup>e</sup> Tennessee Technological University

#### **Seniors**

	N	Me	an	Standa	rd error <sup>f</sup>		dard Ition <sup>g</sup>	DF <sup>h</sup>	Sig. <sup>i</sup>	Effect size <sup>d</sup>	
Variable				Standa				-	arisons with:		
name	Tennessee Tech	Tennessee Tech	Transferable Skills	Tennessee Tech Transferable Skills		Tennessee Tech	Tennessee Tech Transferable Skills		Transferable Skills		
TRNdebate	564	2.19	2.43	.039	.008	.93	.99	614	.000	24	
TRNspeech	565	2.29	2.25	.039	.008	.92	.96	14,976	.356	.04	
TRNgroup	562	2.72	2.81	.036	.007	.85	.87	14,954	.030	09	
TRNethical	565	2.42	2.55	.036	.008	.86	.92	616	.000	14	
TRNcred	565	2.76	2.88	.037	.007	.87	.88	14,953	.001	14	
TRNcomplex	565	2.78	2.85	.037	.007	.89	.87	14,945	.060	08	
TRNsolution	564	2.79	2.87	.037	.007	.87	.84	605	.043	09	
TRNcreate	565	2.20	2.36	.037	.008	.88	.91	613	.000	17	
TRNadapt	564	2.62	2.67	.037	.007	.87	.87	14,927	.148	06	
TRNonlsolve	565	3.09	3.10	.037	.007	.88	.86	14,943	.961	.00	
TRNonlskill	560	3.01	3.04	.038	.007	.90	.86	14,917	.445	03	
TRNproject	565	2.65	2.71	.040	.008	.96	.94	14,906	.117	07	
TRNtodo	565	2.96	3.08	.045	.008	1.07	.99	603	.006	13	
TRNtasks	564	3.37	3.39	.033	.006	.78	.76	14,931	.482	03	
TRNhours	563	2.99	3.02	.040	.008	.96	.96	14,936	.393	04	
TRNwrsource	561	2.83	3.16	.039	.007	.92	.87	600	.000	38	
TRNwrassess	561	2.48	2.75	.042	.008	.99	.99	14,897	.000	27	
TRNwrideas	565	2.45	2.74	.040	.008	.96	.97	14,921	.000	30	
TRNwrviews	564	2.38	2.71	.041	.008	.96	.94	14,910	.000	34	



#### Endnotes Tennessee Technological University

#### **Endnotes**

- a. Column percentages are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding. Counts are unweighted; column percentages cannot be replicated from counts. Comparison group details are in the Selected Comparison Groups report, linked in the Data & Reports table on the Institution Interface.
- b. All statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Unless otherwise noted, statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests. Items with categorical response sets are left blank.
- c. These are the values used to calculate means. For the majority of items, these values match the codes in the data file and codebook.
- d. Effect size for independent t-tests uses Cohen's d; z-tests use Cohen's h.
- e. Statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Categorical items are not listed.
- f. The 95% confidence interval for the population mean is equal to the sample mean plus or minus 1.96 times the standard error of the mean.
- g. A measure of the amount individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.
- h. Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values differ from Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.
- i. Statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests or z-tests. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between your students' mean and that of the students in the comparison group is due to chance.
- j. Statistical comparison uses z-test to compare the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes" with all who responded otherwise.
- k. Mean represents the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes."

#### Key to symbols:

- ▲ Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- $\triangle$  Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- $\nabla$  Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- **Your students' average** was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

Note: It is important to interpret the direction of differences relative to item wording and your institutional context. You may not see all of these symbols in your report.