Form P2

PROMOTION REVIEW SUMMARY SHEET 2023

Faculty Member's Name

Rank

College

Department/School

1. It has been determined that the faculty member meets the criteria for consideration for promotion.

2. Evaluation by departmental/school peers: Out of a total of \_\_\_\_ qualified departmental/school peers, \_\_\_\_ peers have submitted recommendations as follows (show total for each category).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RecommendingCategory | Promote | Do NotPromote | NotRecommending | Date and Initials of CommitteeChairperson |
| Faculty |  |  |  |  |

The recommendation of the departmental/school faculty shall be interpreted as follows: A majority recommendation to promote or not to promote is required for either of the options to be presented as the recommendation of the faculty. Majority is to be determined by the majority of the qualified departmental/unit peers, not the majority of those recommending.

3. Profile of recommendations at all levels (spaces provided on the right to be dated and initialed at each level):

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Recommendation Category | Promote | Do NotPromote | Date andInitials |
| Department Chair/School Director n |  |  |  |
| College Dean |  |  |  |
| Provost and Vice President for AcademicAffairs |  |  |  |
| President |  |  |  |

Please ensure that all information and required forms listed on Form P3 are included (in the same order) in the promotion dossier.